

Entanglement Wedge Cross Section: A Review

Mohammad H. Vahidinia

Institute for Advanced Studies in Basic Sciences (IASBS) and Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM)

February 2020

Outline

- (Holographic)Entanglement entropy
- Entanglement wedge
- holographic duals for entanglement wedge cross-section:
 - Entanglement of purification
 - Reflected entropy
 - Logarithmic negativity
 - Odd entropy

• Dividing a quantum system into A and B: $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$.



- Dividing a quantum system into A and B: $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$.
- Density matrix of a pure state: $\rho = |\psi\rangle\!\langle\psi|$



• Dividing a quantum system into A and B: $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$.



- Density matrix of a pure state: $\rho = |\psi \rangle\!\langle \psi |$
- Reduced density matrix: $\rho_A = \operatorname{tr}_B(\rho) = \sum_{k=1}^{\min(d_A, d_B)} p_k |\psi_k\rangle\!\langle\psi_k|$

• Dividing a quantum system into A and B: $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$.



- Density matrix of a pure state: $ho = |\psi\rangle\!\langle\psi|$
- Reduced density matrix: $\rho_A = \operatorname{tr}_B(\rho) = \sum_{k=1}^{\min(d_A, d_B)} p_k |\psi_k\rangle\!\langle\psi_k|$
- It is entangled iff ρ_A be mixed.

• Dividing a quantum system into A and B: $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$.



- Density matrix of a pure state: $ho = |\psi
 angle \langle \psi |$
- Reduced density matrix: $\rho_A = \operatorname{tr}_B(\rho) = \sum_{k=1}^{\min(d_A, d_B)} p_k |\psi_k\rangle\!\langle\psi_k|$
- It is entangled iff ρ_A be mixed.

Entanglement entropy

A measure of entanglement in a given quantum state $|\psi\rangle$.

$$S_A = -\operatorname{tr}(\rho_A \ln \rho_A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \Leftrightarrow \rho_A \text{ is pure } \Leftrightarrow & \text{seprable state} \\ S > 0 & \Leftrightarrow \rho_A \text{ is mixed } \Leftrightarrow & \text{entangled state} \end{cases}$$

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z}} \sum_{n} e^{-\beta E_n/2} |n_A\rangle \otimes |n_B\rangle, \qquad Z = \sum_{n} e^{-\beta E_n}$$

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z}} \sum_{n} e^{-\beta E_n/2} |n_A\rangle \otimes |n_B\rangle, \qquad Z = \sum_{n} e^{-\beta E_n}$$

The reduced density operator for subsystem A

$$\begin{split} \rho_A &= \operatorname{tr}_B(|\psi\rangle\!\langle\psi|) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_n e^{-\beta E_n} |n_A\rangle\!\langle n_A \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta H_A}, \qquad H_A |n_A\rangle = E_n |n_A\rangle \,. \end{split}$$

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z}} \sum_{n} e^{-\beta E_n/2} |n_A\rangle \otimes |n_B\rangle, \qquad Z = \sum_{n} e^{-\beta E_n}$$

The reduced density operator for subsystem A

$$\begin{split} \rho_A &= \operatorname{tr}_B(|\psi\rangle\!\langle\psi|) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_n e^{-\beta E_n} |n_A\rangle\!\langle n_A| \\ &= \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta H_A}, \qquad H_A |n_A\rangle = E_n |n_A\rangle \,. \end{split}$$

• ρ_A is a Gibbs state at temperature β^{-1} .

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z}} \sum_{n} e^{-\beta E_n/2} |n_A\rangle \otimes |n_B\rangle, \qquad Z = \sum_{n} e^{-\beta E_n}$$

The reduced density operator for subsystem A

$$\rho_A = \operatorname{tr}_B(|\psi\rangle\!\langle\psi|) = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_n e^{-\beta E_n} |n_A\rangle\!\langle n_A$$
$$= \frac{1}{Z} e^{-\beta H_A}, \qquad H_A |n_A\rangle = E_n |n_A\rangle.$$

• ρ_A is a Gibbs state at temperature β^{-1} .

Entanglemet Entropy:
$$S_A = -\operatorname{tr}_A \left[\rho_A (-\beta H_A - \ln Z) \right]$$

= $\underline{\beta(\langle H_A \rangle - F)}_{\text{Thermal entropy for subsystem } A}$, $F = -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln Z$

Remark

This example shows that you can always purify a thermal system by doubling Hilbert space as $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$.

Remark

This example shows that you can always purify a thermal system by doubling Hilbert space as $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$.

Purification

You can always purify a mixed state by enlarging its Hilbert space.

• black hole is dual to a thermal state of the CFT and the horizon area is the entropy of CFT.

- black hole is dual to a thermal state of the CFT and the horizon area is the entropy of CFT.
- By doubling Hilbert space one can purify a thermal state as well as thermal state of a CFT dual to black hole.

- black hole is dual to a thermal state of the CFT and the horizon area is the entropy of CFT.
- By doubling Hilbert space one can purify a thermal state as well as thermal state of a CFT dual to black hole.
- What is the gravity description of this purification?

- black hole is dual to a thermal But state of the CFT and the horizon area is the entropy of CFT.
- By doubling Hilbert space one can purify a thermal state as well as thermal state of a CFT dual to black hole.
- Black hole L CFT_L CFT_R Black hole R

- What is the gravity description of this purification?
- The natural answer: a maximally extended black hole geometry with two disjoint asymptotic region (dual to two non-interacting CFTs)!

$$\sum_{n}e^{-etarac{E_{n}}{2}}\left|n_{L}
ight
angle\otimes\left|n_{R}
ight
angle=\left|\psi
ight
angle$$

$$\sum_{n} e^{-\beta \frac{E_n}{2}} n_{L} \otimes n_{R} =$$

Eternal Black hole (maximally extended AdS-Black hole)



7/31

- black hole entropy is entanglement entropy in this case.
- Classical smooth connection ⇔ Quantum Entanglement

Ryu-Takayanagi (RT) prescription [Ryu-Takayangi '06]

$$S_A = -\operatorname{tr}(\rho_A \ln \rho_A) = \min_{\gamma_A} \frac{\operatorname{Area}(\gamma_A)}{4G}$$

Entanglement entropy in CFT Area of minimal surface

A minimal codimension-2 surface which satisfies:

i) $\partial \gamma_A = \partial A$ *ii*) γ_A is homologous to A



Subregion/subregion duality

Information of ρ_A is included in entanglement wedge M_A .



[Czech-Karczmarek-Nogueira-Raamsdonk '12] [Wall '12] [Headrick-Hubeny-Lawrence-Rangamani '14]

$\mathsf{EW} \text{ of } \pmb{A} \cup B$



Entanglement wedge cross-section of $A \cup B$



Entanglement wedge cross-section of $A \cup B$



What's the dual of entanglement wedge cross-section?

Entanglement wedge and holography for mixed states?!

- Entanglement of purification? [Takayanagi-Umemoto'17] [Nguyen-Devakul-Halbasch- Zaletel-Swingle'17]
- Reflected entropy? [Dutta, Faulkner, '19]
- Logarithmic negativity? [Kudler-Flam, Ryu'18]
- Odd entropy? [Tamaoka'18]
- ...?!

Correlation measures for mixed states



- S_A is not a measure of correlation for mixed states! No correlation for ρ_A ⊗ ρ_B, but S_A > 0 for mixed ρ_A.
- Holographic correlation measures for mixed states?

Correlation measures for mixed states



- S_A is not a measure of correlation for mixed states! No correlation for ρ_A ⊗ ρ_B, but S_A > 0 for mixed ρ_A.
- Holographic correlation measures for mixed states? e.g. mutual information, entanglement of purification

Purification

You can always purify a mixed state by enlarging its Hilbert space.

mixed state ρ_{AB} $A \quad B$ $\mu_{AA'} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{BB'}$ $\mu_{AA'} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{BB'}$

$$\rho_{AB} = \operatorname{tr}_{A'B'}\left(\left|\psi\right\rangle\left\langle\psi\right|\right)$$

Purification is not unique !

Entanglement of purification [Terhal- Horodecki- Leung- DiVincenzo, '02]



$$\rho_{AB} = \operatorname{tr}_{A'B'}(|\psi\rangle \langle \psi|)$$

Entanglement between AA' and BB':

$$S_{AA'} = -\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho_{AA'}\ln\rho_{AA'}\right)$$

Entanglement of purification:

$$E_p \equiv \min_{\text{all purification}} S_{AA'}$$

It measures both quantum and classical correlations between A and B.

It has an operational interpretation in terms of local operations and a small amount of communication.

• E_p is bounded above by S_E

 $E_p(A:B) \le \min(S_A, S_B)$

• E_p is bounded above by S_E

$$E_p(A:B) \le \min\left(S_A, S_B\right)$$

• In a bipartite state that saturates the Araki-Lieb inequality $S_{AB} = |S_A - S_B|$ implies $E_p(A:B) = \min(S_A, S_B)$

• E_p is bounded above by S_E

$$E_p(A:B) \le \min\left(S_A, S_B\right)$$

- In a bipartite state that saturates the Araki-Lieb inequality $S_{AB} = |S_A S_B|$ implies $E_p(A:B) = \min(S_A, S_B)$
- E_p is monotonic

 $E_p(A:BC) \ge E_p(A:B)$

• E_p is bounded above by S_E

$$E_p(A:B) \le \min\left(S_A, S_B\right)$$

- In a bipartite state that saturates the Araki-Lieb inequality $S_{AB} = |S_A S_B|$ implies $E_p(A:B) = \min(S_A, S_B)$
- E_p is monotonic

$$E_p(A:BC) \ge E_p(A:B)$$

• E_P is bounded below by I/2

$$E_p(A:B) \ge \frac{I(A:B)}{2}$$

• E_p is bounded above by S_E

$$E_p(A:B) \le \min\left(S_A, S_B\right)$$

- In a bipartite state that saturates the Araki-Lieb inequality $S_{AB} = |S_A S_B|$ implies $E_p(A:B) = \min(S_A, S_B)$
- E_p is monotonic

$$E_p(A:BC) \ge E_p(A:B)$$

• E_P is bounded below by I/2

$$E_p(A:B) \ge \frac{I(A:B)}{2}$$

• A bound for tripartite system

$$E_p(A:BC) \ge \frac{I(A:B)}{2} + \frac{I(A:C)}{2}$$

• E_p is bounded above by S_E

$$E_p(A:B) \le \min\left(S_A, S_B\right)$$

- In a bipartite state that saturates the Araki-Lieb inequality $S_{AB} = |S_A S_B|$ implies $E_p(A:B) = \min(S_A, S_B)$
- E_p is monotonic

$$E_p(A:BC) \ge E_p(A:B)$$

• E_P is bounded below by I/2

$$E_p(A:B) \ge \frac{I(A:B)}{2}$$

A bound for tripartite system

$$E_p(A:BC) \ge \frac{I(A:B)}{2} + \frac{I(A:C)}{2}$$

• Polygamous for tripartite system

 $E_p(A:B) + E_p(A:C) \ge E_p(A:BC)$

Entanglement of purification and EWC

The entanglement wedge as a new holographic geometry? with the boundary $\partial M_{AB} = A \cup B \cup A' \cup B'$ minimal cross-section Entanglement of Purification of the entanglement wedge Area $E_W(\rho_{AB}) \equiv \min_{\text{all } A'}$ HEE of $\rho_{AA'}$ all purification over states with holographic dual?

[Takayanagi-Umemoto'17] [Nguyen-Devakul-Halbasch- Zaletel-Swingle'17]

Evidences for $E_p = E_W$

- It satisfies all mentioned inequality.
- A heuristic derivation via MERA



Evidences for $E_p = E_W$

- It satisfies all mentioned inequality.
- A heuristic derivation via MERA



Reflected entropy [Dutta, Faulkner, '19]

For any mixed state there is a canonical purification by doubling the Hilbert space:

$$\rho_{AB} = \sum_{i} p_{i} \left| \psi_{i} \right\rangle \! \left\langle \psi_{i} \right| \quad \xrightarrow{\text{purification}} \quad \sqrt{\rho_{AB}} = \sum_{i} \sqrt{p_{i}} \left| \psi_{i} \right\rangle_{AB} \left| \psi_{i} \right\rangle_{A^{*}B^{*}}$$

Reflected entropy [Dutta, Faulkner, '19]

For any mixed state there is a canonical purification by doubling the Hilbert space:

$$\rho_{AB} = \sum_{i} p_{i} \left| \psi_{i} \right\rangle \! \left\langle \psi_{i} \right| \quad \xrightarrow{\text{purification}} \quad \sqrt{\rho_{AB}} = \sum_{i} \sqrt{p_{i}} \left| \psi_{i} \right\rangle_{AB} \left| \psi_{i} \right\rangle_{A^{*}B^{*}}$$

Example: thermofield double state

$$\rho_{th} = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{n} e^{-\beta E_{n}} \left| n_{\mathbf{A}} \right\rangle \! \left\langle n_{\mathbf{A}} \right| \quad \xrightarrow{\text{purification}} \quad \left| \psi \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z}} \sum_{n} e^{-\beta E_{n}/2} \left| n_{\mathbf{A}} \right\rangle \otimes \left| n_{B} \right\rangle$$

Reflected entropy [Dutta, Faulkner, '19]

For any mixed state there is a canonical purification by doubling the Hilbert space:

$$\rho_{AB} = \sum_{i} p_{i} \left| \psi_{i} \right\rangle \! \left\langle \psi_{i} \right| \quad \xrightarrow{\text{purification}} \quad \sqrt{\rho_{AB}} = \sum_{i} \sqrt{p_{i}} \left| \psi_{i} \right\rangle_{AB} \left| \psi_{i} \right\rangle_{A^{*}B^{*}}$$

Example: thermofield double state

$$\rho_{th} = \frac{1}{Z} \sum_{n} e^{-\beta E_n} \left| n_{\mathbf{A}} \right\rangle \! \left\langle n_{\mathbf{A}} \right| \quad \xrightarrow{\text{purification}} \quad \left| \psi \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{Z}} \sum_{n} e^{-\beta E_n/2} \left| n_{\mathbf{A}} \right\rangle \otimes \left| n_B \right\rangle$$

reflected entropy:

$$S_R(A:B) \equiv S_{AA^*} = -\operatorname{tr}\left(\rho_{AA^*} \ln \rho_{AA^*}\right)$$
$$\rho_{AA^*} = \operatorname{tr}_{BB^*}\left(\left|\sqrt{\rho_{AB}}\right\rangle \left\langle \rho_{AB}\right|\right)$$

Reflected entropy and EWC [Dutta-Faulkner '19]



Reflected entropy and EWC



Replica trick for reflected entropy

Twice replication by taking $n \times m$ copies:

• 1st replication:

$$\left|\psi_{m}\right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\operatorname{tr}\rho_{AB}^{m}}}\left|\rho_{AB}^{m/2}\right\rangle, \quad 2m \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$$

Replica trick for reflected entropy

Twice replication by taking $n \times m$ copies:

• 1st replication:

$$\left|\psi_{m}
ight
angle = rac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{tr}\,
ho_{AB}^{m}}}\left|
ho_{AB}^{m/2}
ight
angle, \quad 2m\in\mathbb{Z}^{+}$$

• 2nd replication for Renyi entropy

$$S^{(n)}(AA^{*})_{\psi_{m}} = \frac{1}{n-1} \ln \operatorname{tr} \left(\rho_{AA^{*}}^{(m)}\right)^{n}$$
$$\rho_{AA^{*}}^{(m)} = \operatorname{tr}_{BB^{*}} |\psi_{m}\rangle\!\langle\psi_{m}| = \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}\rho_{AB}^{m}} \operatorname{tr}_{BB^{*}} \left|\rho_{AB}^{m/2}\right\rangle\!\langle\rho_{AB}^{m/2}$$

Replica trick for reflected entropy

Twice replication by taking $n \times m$ copies:

• 1st replication:

$$\left|\psi_{m}
ight
angle=rac{1}{\sqrt{\mathrm{tr}\,
ho_{AB}^{m}}}\left|
ho_{AB}^{m/2}
ight
angle,\quad 2m\in\mathbb{Z}^{+}$$

• 2nd replication for Renyi entropy

$$S^{(n)}(AA^{*})_{\psi_{m}} = \frac{1}{n-1} \ln \operatorname{tr} \left(\rho_{AA^{*}}^{(m)}\right)^{n}$$
$$\rho_{AA^{*}}^{(m)} = \operatorname{tr}_{BB^{*}} |\psi_{m}\rangle\!\langle\psi_{m}| = \frac{1}{\operatorname{tr}\rho_{AB}^{m}} \operatorname{tr}_{BB^{*}} \left|\rho_{AB}^{m/2}\right\rangle\!\langle\rho_{AB}^{m/2}$$

Now one can obtain

$$Z_{n,m} \equiv \operatorname{tr}_{AA^{\star}} \left(\operatorname{tr}_{BB^{\star}} \left| \rho_{AB}^{m/2} \right\rangle \left\langle \rho_{AB}^{m/2} \right| \right)^{n}, \quad \text{(calculable via twist op's)}$$

where $Z_{1,m} = {
m tr}
ho_{AB}^m$ and

$$S^{(n)}(AA^{\star})_{\psi_m} = \frac{1}{n-1} \ln \frac{Z_{n,m}}{(Z_{1,m})^n}, \quad \longrightarrow \quad S_R = \lim_{m,n \to 1} S^{(nm)}$$

Renyi entropy is related to area of cosmic brane in the bulk gravity theory [Dong '16]

$$\tilde{S}^{(n)} \equiv n^2 \partial_n \left(\frac{n-1}{n} S^{(n)} \right) = \frac{\operatorname{area}(\operatorname{cosmic brane})}{4G}, \quad \operatorname{tension} = \frac{n-1}{4nG}$$

Renyi entropy is related to area of cosmic brane in the bulk gravity theory [Dong '16]

$$\tilde{S}^{(n)} \equiv n^2 \partial_n \left(\frac{n-1}{n} S^{(n)} \right) = \frac{\operatorname{area}(\operatorname{cosmic brane})}{4G}, \quad \operatorname{tension} = \frac{n-1}{4nG}$$

A generalization: Renyi reflected entropy

$$\tilde{S_R}^{(n)} \equiv n^2 \partial_n \left(\frac{n-1}{n} S_R^{(n)} \right) = 2 \frac{\text{area(cosmic brane)}}{4G} \Big|_{\text{EW}}$$

Renyi entropy is related to area of cosmic brane in the bulk gravity theory [Dong '16]

$$\tilde{S}^{(n)} \equiv n^2 \partial_n \left(\frac{n-1}{n} S^{(n)} \right) = \frac{\text{area(cosmic brane)}}{4G}, \quad \text{tension} = \frac{n-1}{4nG}$$

A generalization: Renyi reflected entropy

$$\tilde{S_R}^{(n)} \equiv n^2 \partial_n \left(\frac{n-1}{n} S_R^{(n)} \right) = \frac{2 \operatorname{area(cosmic brane)}}{4G} \Big|_{\mathsf{EW}}$$

Renyi reflected entropy $~\propto~$ area of back reacted brane on EW

Partial transposition: $\langle i_A, j_B | \rho_{AB}^{T_B} | k_A, l_B \rangle \equiv \langle i_A, l_B | \rho_{AB} | k_A, j_B \rangle$

Negative Eigenvalue of
$$\rho_{AB}^{T_B} \implies$$
 Entanglement

Logarithmic Negativity =
$$\log \left(\operatorname{tr} \sqrt{\rho_{AB}^{T_B} \rho_{AB}^{T_B \dagger}} \right) = S_R^{(1/2)}$$

Partial transposition: $\langle i_A, j_B | \rho_{AB}^{T_B} | k_A, l_B \rangle \equiv \langle i_A, l_B | \rho_{AB} | k_A, j_B \rangle$

Negative Eigenvalue of
$$\rho_{AB}^{T_B} \implies$$
 Entanglement

Logarithmic Negativity =
$$\log\left(\operatorname{tr}\sqrt{\rho_{AB}^{T_B}\rho_{AB}^{T_B\dagger}}\right) = S_R^{(1/2)}$$

For (vacuum) spherically symmetric regions [Hung-Myers-Smolkin-Yale '11]

$${\sf Renyi} = \chi S_E = \chi rac{{\sf area(no \ brane)}}{4G}$$

Partial transposition: $\langle i_A, j_B | \rho_{AB}^{T_B} | k_A, l_B \rangle \equiv \langle i_A, l_B | \rho_{AB} | k_A, j_B \rangle$

Negative Eigenvalue of
$$\rho_{AB}^{T_B} \implies$$
 Entanglement

Logarithmic Negativity
$$= \log \left(\operatorname{tr} \sqrt{
ho_{AB}^{T_B}
ho_{AB}^{T_B \dagger}}
ight) = S_R^{(1/2)}$$

For (vacuum) spherically symmetric regions [Hung-Myers-Smolkin-Yale '11]

$$\mathsf{Renyi} = \chi S_E = \chi \frac{\mathsf{area(no brane)}}{4G}$$

Logarithmic Negativity = χE_W

Odd entropy and EWC (without purification) [Tamaoka'18]

$$S_o(A:B) \equiv \lim_{n_o \to 1} \frac{1}{1-n_o} \Big[\operatorname{tr} \left(\rho_{AB}^{T_B} \right)^{n_o} - 1 \Big],$$

 $(n_o$ is analytic continuation of an odd integer)

Odd entropy and EWC (without purification) [Tamaoka'18]

$$S_o(A:B) \equiv \lim_{n_o \to 1} \frac{1}{1-n_o} \Big[\operatorname{tr} \left(\rho_{AB}^{T_B} \right)^{n_o} - 1 \Big],$$

 $(n_o$ is analytic continuation of an odd integer)

$$S_O(A:B) = \sum_{\lambda_i < 0} |\lambda_i| \ln |\lambda_i| - \sum_{\lambda_i > 0} |\lambda_i| \ln |\lambda_i|, \quad \lambda_i : \text{eigenvalues of} \rho_{AB}^{T_B}$$

Odd entropy and EWC (without purification) [Tamaoka'18]

$$S_o(A:B) \equiv \lim_{n_o \to 1} \frac{1}{1 - n_o} \left[\operatorname{tr} \left(\rho_{AB}^{T_B} \right)^{n_o} - 1 \right],$$

 $(n_o$ is analytic continuation of an odd integer)

$$S_O(A:B) = \sum_{\lambda_i < 0} |\lambda_i| \ln |\lambda_i| - \sum_{\lambda_i > 0} |\lambda_i| \ln |\lambda_i|, \quad \lambda_i : \text{eigenvalues of} \rho_{AB}^{T_B}$$

- It reduces to the EE for pure states.
- It reduces to the von Neumann entropy for product states.
- By using replica for vacuum and thermal states a holographic CFT₂:

 $S_o(A:B) = S(A:B) + E_W(A:B)$



A new constraint for Holographic CFTs?!

 $EW(A:B) \ge I(A:B)/2 \implies S_o(A:B) - S(A:B) \ge I(A:B)/2$

Summary: EWC is dual to ...

- Entanglement of purification?[Takayanagi-Umemoto'17] [Nguyen-Devakul-Halbasch- Zaletel-Swingle'17]
- Reflected entropy?[Dutta-Faulkner '19]
- Logarithmic negativity? [Kudler-Flam, Ryu'18]
- Odd entropy? [Tamaoka'18]
- It seems that all of them are same in holographic CFTs.

Further investigations

- bit threads description [Bao-Chatwin-Davies-Pollack-Remmen]
- entanglement wedge cross section can not be any axiomatic measures of the quantum entanglement. [Umemoto '19]
- dynamics of local quench via reflected entropy [Yuya-Tamaoka '19]
- checking the $S_R=2E_w$ duality at higher order terms in m-1 for ho^m_{AB} [Jeong- Kim-Nishida'19]

- generalization for multi-partite regions [Bao-Cheng'18] [Bao-Halpern '18] [Akers-Rath'19]
- reconstructing EW via information metrics [Kusukia-Suzukib-Takayanagia-Umemotoa'19]





• EoP in free scalar QFTs [Bhattacharyyaa-Takayanagi-Umemoto '18]

 Thermal corrections to EWC (with Lifshitz and hyperscaling violating exponents) and EWCs for entangling region with singular boundaries [Babaei-Mohammadi-Vahidinia '19]

- Thermal corrections to EWC (with Lifshitz and hyperscaling violating exponents) and EWCs for entangling region with singular boundaries [Babaei-Mohammadi-Vahidinia '19]
- EWCs in Higher Curvature Theories [Babaei-Mohammadi-Vahidinia (to appear)]

- Thermal corrections to EWC (with Lifshitz and hyperscaling violating exponents) and EWCs for entangling region with singular boundaries [Babaei-Mohammadi-Vahidinia '19]
- EWCs in Higher Curvature Theories [Babaei-Mohammadi-Vahidinia (to appear)]
- Global Thermal and Electromagnetic Quenches [Babaei-Mohammadi-Vahidinia (to appear)]

- Thermal corrections to EWC (with Lifshitz and hyperscaling violating exponents) and EWCs for entangling region with singular boundaries [Babaei-Mohammadi-Vahidinia '19]
- EWCs in Higher Curvature Theories [Babaei-Mohammadi-Vahidinia (to appear)]
- Global Thermal and Electromagnetic Quenches [Babaei-Mohammadi-Vahidinia (to appear)]

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left[-f(r,v) dv^{2} - 2 dv dr + dx_{d-1}^{2} \right], \quad f(r,v) = 1 - m(v) r^{d} + Q^{2}(v) r^{2(d-1)}$$
$$dv = dt - \frac{dr}{f}, \quad m(v) = m \frac{1 + \tanh(v/v_{0})}{2}$$



Time evolution of $\ensuremath{\mathsf{EWC}}$



[Babaei-Mohammadi-Vahidinia (to appear)]



[Babaei-Mohammadi-Vahidinia (to appear)]

Thank you for your attention!